

Equality impact assessment is a legal requirement for all strategies, plans, functions, policies, procedures, and services under the Equalities Act 2010. We are also legally required to publish assessments.

# **Section 1: Description**

Department	Children and Families Services		Lead officer respon	Lead officer responsible for assessment		
Service	School Organisation		Other members of assessment	Other members of team undertaking assessment		
Date	10 May 2021		Version		1	
Type of document (mark as appropriate)	Strategy	Plan √	Function	Policy √	Procedure	Service V
Is this a new/existing/revision of an existing document (mark as appropriate)	Ne <sup>r</sup> V	w	Ex	isting	Revision	
Title and subject of the impact assessment (include a brief description of the aims, outcomes, operational issues as appropriate and how it fits in with the wider aims of the organisation) Please attach a copy of the strategy/plan/function/policy/procedure/service	<ul> <li>Decision on the proposed expansion of Springfield School onto a satellite site formally Dean Row Day Centre, Wilmslow</li> <li>The proposal is to expand Springfield School, located at Crewe, onto a satellite site, this will b site previously known as Dean Row Day Centre, Wilmslow. The Dean Row campus would car pupils aged 4-19 for pupils, with a range of complex needs including Autistic Spectrum Condit Severe Learning difficulties (SLD) and Profound Multiple Learning Difficulties (PMLD). This proposal is for implementation September 2022.</li> <li>The associated policies and procedures with this proposal are set out below:         <ul> <li>The Local Authority must comply with statutory requirements as set out in The Edu Inspections Act 2006 (EIA 2006) and the School Organisation (Prescribed Alteration Maintained Schools) (England) Regulations 2013 that came into force on 28 Januar The statutory process for significant changes to a maintained special school requires the local autions and commence a minimum of 4 weeks consultation period. The Local autions and commence a minimum of 4 weeks consultation period.</li> <li>The Local Authority mence a minimum of 4 weeks consultation period.</li> </ul></li></ul>					be located at the ater for up to 80 tion (ASC), ucation and ons to ary 2014.



	period and if approved, implement the proposal within the timescale.					
	The outcomes of consultation, which was held from 13 May 2021 to 17 June 2021 will be summarised in a report to Children and Families Committee for a decision on 12 July 2021.					
	It is a requirement both under DfE guidance and case law that the decision maker should consider the views expressed during consultation and take into account the Equality Impact Assessment. It is therefore imperative that full details of all views submitted are made available at the decision meeting.					
	School Organisation       (Prescribed Alterations to Maintained Schools) (England) Regulations         2013       School Admissions Code (2014)         DfE Guidance       Dfe Guidance					
Who are the main stakeholders?	Children and their parents and carers					
(e.g. public, employees, Councillors, partne	Headteachers of schools in Crewe and Wilmslow.					
specific audiences)	<ul> <li>Parents, Staff and Governors of schools in Crewe and Wilmslow.</li> <li>Dioceses, Parish Councils, Ward Members. MP</li> </ul>					
Section 2: Initial screening						
Who is affected? (This may or may not include the stakeholders listed above)	<ul> <li>Children and Young People</li> <li>Parents / Carers</li> <li>Schools</li> <li>Neighbouring Authorities</li> </ul>					
Who is intended to benefit and how?	ildren with with a range of complex needs including Autistic Spectrum Condition (ASC), Severe Learning ficulties (SLD) and Profound Multiple Learning Difficulties (PMLD), and their parents and carers.					
Due to the nature of the Special School our intake on both sites will serve a large population at There is very limited specialist provision within the Local Authority, so pupils are not always ne their local community. A number of pupils with complex needs including Autistic Spectrum Con-						



			Learning difficulties (SLD) and Profound Multiple Learning Difficulties (PMLD), currently travel outside East to attend school and it is hoped that some of these pupils would be closer to their communities satellite site.								
Could there be a diffe outcome for some gro	•	or		, difficu	ities (S	LD) and Profound Multi	•		uding Autistic Spectrum Co ficulties (PMLD), as it will p		
Does it include makin on individual characte circumstances?	-	Severe Learning difficul	The school will offer places to children statemented for complex needs including Autistic Spectrum Condition (ASC), Severe Learning difficulties (SLD) and Profound Multiple Learning Difficulties (PMLD), none of the individual characteristics, needs or circumstances will be considered when offering places.								
Are relations betwee or communities likely (e.g. will it favour one opportunities for oth	to be affect group or de		Will have a positive impact on those pupils with S complex needs including Autistic Spectrum Condition (ASC), Severe Learning difficulties (SLD) and Profound Multiple Learning Difficulties (PMLD).								
Is there any specific t promote equality? Is unequal outcomes (d evidence to prove oth	there a histo o you have o	Wilmslow Addition. The	5-weel bouring	k repre g scho	sentation period ran fro ols – parents, staff and	m 13 May governors	/ 2021 5, Paris	lay in the Manchester Wee until 17 June 2021. Key si h Councils, Diocese, Ward to oppose.	akehold	lers,	
Is there an actual of	or potentia	negat	ve impact on these spec	ific cha	aracte	ristics? (Please tick)					
Age	Y	N √	Marriage & civil partnership	Y	N √	Religion & belief	Y	N √	Carers	Y	N √
Disability	Y	N	Pregnancy & maternity	Y	N V	Sex	Y	N	Socio-economic status	Y	N
		V			v			V			v



Gender reassignment	Y	Ν	Race		Y	Ν	Sexual orientation	Y	Ν				
		v				v			v				
										Consultation/involvemer carried out			
											Yes	No	
Age				additional pla places for yo	ices av ung pu	/ailable ıpils wi	ill offer places for children will positively impact on th complex needs and the choice of schools.	the num	ber of	school	V		
Disability				The proposa because the North of the I	l will ha provisi poroug	ave a p on of a h and	positive impact on young p additional places will provi reduce the possible neces suitable place closer to pu	de more ssity of h	e place: naving	s in the to travel out	V		
Gender reassignment				board purely	on nee	ed. All	ool are determined by the applications are considered applications are considered are or gender reassignment.	ed on an			V		
Marriage & civil partnershi	р			Places at a s board purely	peciali: on nee	st scho ed. All	pol are determined by the applications are considered applications are considered arital status of pupil or of	local Au ed on an	n equal	basis	V		
Pregnancy & maternity				Places at a s board purely	peciali: on nee	st scho ed. All	ool are determined by the applications are considere atus of pupil or of the pare	local Au ed on an	ithoritie equal	es SEN	V		
Race				Places at a s board purely	peciali: on nee	st scho ed. All	pol are determined by the applications are considered discrimination in this resp	local Au ed on an	uthoritie		V		
Religion & belief				Places at a s board purely religion and b	pecialis on nee pelief d	st scho ed. All lo not f	pol are determined by the applications are considered orm part of the criterion a asis irrespective of religiou	local Au ed on an nd all ap	n equal oplication	basis and	V		



Sex	The local authority has no reason to site would result in an overall chan school. Places at the school will be	V			
Sexual orientation	Places at a specialist school are do board purely on need. All application this does not allow for any discrimination	v			
Carers	The proposal will have a positive impact on persons with dependents and will offer greater parental choice for those families with wider caring responsibilities.				
Socio-economic status	It is considered that the proposal w children/young people included in provide more places locally for local		V		
Proceed to full impact assessment? (Please tick)	Yes	No V	Date 10 N	May 2021	

If yes, please proceed to Section 3. If no, please publish the initial screening as part of the suite of documents relating to this issue

# Section 3: Identifying impacts and evidence

This section identifies if there are impacts on equality, diversity and cohesion, what evidence there is to support the conclusion and what further action is needed

Protected characteristics	Is the policy (function etc) likely to have an	Are there any positive impacts of the	Please rate the impact taking into	Further action
	adverse impact on any of the groups?	policy (function etc) on any of the	account any measures already in place	(only an outline needs to be included
		groups?	to reduce the impacts identified	here. A full action plan can be
	Please include evidence (qualitative &		High: Significant potential impact;	included at Section 4)
	quantitative) and consultations	Please include evidence (qualitative &	history of complaints; no mitigating	
		quantitative) and consultations	measures in place; need for	
			consultation	
			Medium: Some potential impact;	
			some mitigating measures in place,	
			lack of evidence to show effectiveness	
			of measures	
			Low: Little/no identified impacts;	



			heavily legislation-led; limited public			
			facing aspect			
Age						
Disability						
Gender reassignment						
Marriage & civil						
partnership						
Pregnancy and maternity						
Race						
Religion & belief						
Sex						
Sexual orientation						
Carers						
Socio-economics						
Is this project due to be carried out wholly or partly by contractors? If yes, please indicate how you have ensured that the partner organisation complies with equality						
legislation (e.g. tendering, awards process, contract, monitoring and performance measures)						



# Section 4: Review and conclusion

Summary: provide a brief overview including impact, changes, improvement, any gaps in evidence and additional data that is needed								
Specific actions to be taken to reduce, justify or	How will this be monitored?	Officer responsible	Target date					
remove any adverse impacts								
When will this assessment be reviewed?	Following the consultation period							
Are there any additional assessments that need to								
be undertaken in relation to this assessment?								
Lead officer signoff	Joanne Prophet	Date	10 May 2021					
Head of service signoff	Mark Bayley	Date	10 May 2021					

Please publish this completed EIA form on your website